UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE:)	
)	Chapter 11
FORESIGHT ENERGY LP, et al.,)	
)	Case No. 20-41308-659
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	
)	Related to Docket No. 63

Response to Debtors' Motion for an Order Pursuant to Sections 105(a) and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 6004 Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts Nunc Pro Tunc to the Petition Date

Mt. Olive & Staunton Coal Company Trust ("Mt. Olive"), by counsel, hereby asks that this Court deny Debtor's Motion for an Order Pursuant to Sections 104(a) and 365 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 6004 Authorizing the Rejection of Certain Executory Contracts *Nunc Pro Tunc* to the Petition Date ("Section 365 Motion") as it relates to a Purchase and Sale Agreement by and between Macoupin Energy LLC and Mt. Olive and the adherent Guaranty executed by Foresight Energy LP. In support thereof, Mt. Olive offers the following memorandum:

Introduction

In their Section 365 Motion, the Debtors have asked this Court to authorize the rejection of a Purchase and Sale Agreement between Macoupin Energy LLC and Mt. Olive and, presumably, the adherent Guaranty executed by Foresight Energy LP. Fatal to the Debtors' effort, however, is the fact that Section 365 only authorizes the rejection of *executory* contracts in certain situations, and neither the Purchase and Sale Agreement nor the Guaranty constitutes an executory contract.

As such, Mt. Olive asks this Court to deny Debtors' Section 365 Motion as it relates to these two agreements.

Factual Background

- On May 5, 2017, Mt. Olive and Macoupin Energy LLC closed on a Purchase and Sale Agreement concerning the sale of certain mineral rights owned by Mt. Olive in Macoupin County, Illinois. (Exhibit A (Purchase and Sale Agreement).)
- 2. Pursuant to the Agreement, Mt. Olive agreed to transfer these mineral rights to Macoupin Energy LLC on the date of closing in exchange for \$2.5 million. (**Exhibit A** at Article 2.)
- 3. However, unlike Mt. Olive's obligation to immediately transfer ownership of its mineral rights on the date of closing, Macoupin Energy's \$2.5 million payment obligation was to be paid pursuant to the following schedule:
 - a. \$1 million at closing;
 - b. \$500,000 on the first business day after January 1, 2020;
 - c. \$500,000 on the first business day after January 1, 2021; and
 - d. \$500,000 on the first business day after January 1, 2022.

(**Exhibit A** at Article 2.2.)

- 4. As such, because Macoupin Energy's payment obligation was spread over four installments, Mt. Olive also required Foresight Energy LP to execute a Guaranty wherein Foresight Energy LP agreed to "unconditionally and irrevocably" Macoupin Energy's payment obligations. (**Exhibit A** at Exhibit D Guaranty.)
- 5. On May 5, 2017, Mt. Olive performed its obligations under the Purchase and Sale Agreement and transferred ownership of the mineral rights at issue. (**Group Exhibit B**

(Documents reflecting transfer of ownership, including the Quit Claim transferring the mineral rights at issue.))

- 6. On the date of closing, Macoupin Energy made its initial \$1 million payment.
- 7. It, however, failed to make the \$500,000 due on January 1, 2020.
- 8. Likewise, Foresight Energy LP has failed to satisfy its obligations under the Guaranty.

Grounds for Denial

This Court should deny the Debtors' Motion as it relates to the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the adherent Guaranty because neither agreement constitutes an executory contract. Section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code only applies to executory contracts and unexpired leases. See 11 U.S.C. § 365. Not even the Debtors are suggesting that the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the adherent Guaranty constitute unexpired leases, and, in the Eighth Circuit, "[t]o conclude that a contract is executory for purposes of § 365, the bankruptcy court must find that both parties have so far underperformed that a failure of either to complete performance would constitute a material breach excusing the performance of the other." In re Interstate Bakeries Corp., 751 F.3d 955, 963 (8th Cir. 2014) (emphasis in original).

Here, it is simply factually impossible to characterize the Purchase and Sale Agreement and the Guaranty as executory contracts in light of this definition. Mt. Olive's obligation under these Agreements was to transfer ownership of certain mineral rights to Macoupin Energy – which it completed almost three years ago. The remaining obligations under these agreements fall onto Macoupin Energy and Foresight Energy LP. The first has the primary obligation to pay Mt. Olive the remaining \$1.5 million owed to the trust while Foresight Energy LP has the obligation to

Case 20-41308 Doc 190 Filed 03/26/20 Entered 03/26/20 15:44:04 Main Document Pg 4 of 5

guarantee these payments. There is nothing left for Mt. Olive to do under these agreements such

that a failure to perform would constitute a material breach of the agreements.

Conclusion

The Debtors' motion has a fundamental problem. Mt. Olive's agreements with Macoupin

Energy LLC and Foresight Energy LP are simply not executory in nature – as the term has been

defined by the Eighth Circuit. As a consequence, Mt. Olive & Staunton Coal Company Trust asks

that this Court deny the Debtors' Motion to the extent that their motion seeks to authorize the

rejection of the Purchase and Sale Agreement between Mt. Olive & Staunton Coal Company and

Macoupin Energy LLC and the adherent Guaranty executed by Foresight Energy LP.

HEYL, ROYSTER, VOELKER & ALLEN, P.C.

By: /s/ Patrick D. Cloud

Of Heyl, Royster, Voelker & Allen, P.C.

Patrick D. Cloud, #57187MO

HEYL, ROYSTER, VOELKER & ALLEN, P.C.

105 W. Vandalia, Suite 100

P.O. Box 467

Edwardsville, IL 62025

Telephone:

618.656.4646

Facsimile:

618.656.7940

edwecf@heylroyster.com pcloud@heylroyster.com

Page 4 of 5

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on this 26th day of March, 2020, the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Patrick D. Cloud

Of Heyl, Royster, Voelker & Allen, P.C.

Patrick D. Cloud HEYL, ROYSTER, VOELKER & ALLEN, P.C. 105 W. Vandalia, Suite 100 P.O. Box 467 Edwardsville, IL 62025

Telephone: 618.656.4646 Facsimile: 618.656.7940 edwecf@heylroyster.com pcloud@heylroyster.com